Organic LETTERS

Letter

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Dearomatization Strategy and Palladium-Catalyzed Domino Reaction: Construction of Azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indoles from 2-Alkynylanilines

Chen Zheng,[†] Jin Jin Chen,[‡,*] and Renhua Fan[†,*]

[†]Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China

[‡]Children Health Care Center Shanghai, Children's Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University, No. 24 Lane 1400, West Beijing Road, Shanghai 200040, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A facile approach to construct 3,4-fused tricyclic azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indoles from 2-alkynyl anilines, isocyanides, and *α,β*-unsaturated acids is reported. This synthetic process involves a regioselective meta-functionalization of 2-alkynylanilines using a dearomatization strategy and a palladium(II)-catalyzed domino heterocyclization/Heck reaction.



3,4-Fused tricyclic indoles constitute a very important class of compounds because of their remarkable medicinal and biological activities.[1] For example, the natural products lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD),[2] hapalindole U,[3] arcyroxocin B,[4] communesin B,[5] decursivine,[6] welwistatin,[7] dehydrobufotenine,[8] and indolactam V[9] have a 3,4-fused tricyclic indole unit as their core structure. Therefore, a number of synthetic approaches toward the construction of 3,4-fused tricyclic indole systems have been developed such as the intramolecular Fischer indole syntheses,[10] Pictet–Spengler reactions,[11] electrocyclizations,[12] photocyclizations,[13] and Diels–Alder reactions.[14] Normally, these strategies involve an introduction of functional groups to the C-3 and the C-4 positions of indoles and a subsequent cyclization. A big challenge in these methods is the selective functionalization of the C-4 position of indoles, which is not a preferred site for the electrophilic substitution reaction. Recently, Jia and co-workers reported an intramolecular Larock indolization reaction, and 2,3-difunctionalized anilines proved to be potential precursors to a variety of 3,4-fused tricyclic indoles.[15] Herein, we report an approach to construct 3,4-fused tricyclic azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indoles from 2-alkynylanilines, isocyanides, and *α,β*-unsaturated acids. This process involves a regioselective meta-functionalization of 2-alkynylanilines using a dearomatization strategy and a palladium-catalyzed domino heterocyclization/Heck reaction (Scheme 1).

Under oxidizing conditions, the dearomatization[16] of para-substituted 2-alkynylanilines forms 2-alkynylcyclohexadienimines. The reactivity of these products has been shown to allow the regioselective 1,4-addition (Michael-type) of a variety of carbon and heteronucleophiles at the C-3 position.[17,18] We conceived that this dearomatization strategy could be used to achieve the meta-functionalization of 2-alkynylanilines by isocyanides and *α,β*-unsaturated acids (Scheme 2).

Scheme 1. Construction of Azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indoles



First, we evaluated the reactions of *N*-protected 4-methyl-2-(2-phenylethynyl)benzenamines **1**–**5** with *tert*-butyl isocyanide and cinnamic acid in methanol with (diacetoxyiodo)benzene as oxidant (Scheme 3). The results revealed that the protecting group played a significant role in the transformation. When the

Received: December 9, 2013
Published: January 16, 2014

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403557q | *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 816–819

Scheme 2. Meta-Functionalization of 2-Alkynylanilines Using Dearomatization Strategy



Scheme 3



N-$COCF_3$-, *N*-Boc-, or *N*-Bz-protected substrate was used, the oxidative dearomatization proceeded well, but further conversion did not occur. When the *N*-Ms- or *N*-Ts-protected substrate was used, the desired 3-functionalized product **9** or **10** was formed in 31% or 35% yield, respectively.

To avoid the competition between cinnamic acid and acetic acid metabolized from (diacetoxyiodo)benzene, iodosylbenzene (PhIO) was used as oxidant instead.[19] Further optimization showed that the dearomatization had to be conducted in methanol, but acetonitrile was the best reaction medium for the Michael addition and the aromatization steps. Therefore, the meta-functionalization reaction was carried out in a stepwise way: compound **5** was treated with 1.1 equiv of iodosylbenzene in methanol (0.1 M) at room temperature for 10 min. After methanol was removed under a pressure-reducing condition, acetonitrile, 1.5 equiv of *tert*-butyl isocyanide, and 1.2 equiv of cinnamic acid were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 48 h. This reaction gave rise to compound **10** in 84% yield. Under the optimized conditions, the reactions of 2-alkynylanilines bearing a range of different substitutions with a variety of isocyanides and *α,β*-unsaturated acids proceeded smoothly leading to the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (Table 1).

We initially investigated the possibility of constructing azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indole via an iodocyclization[20] and an intramolecular Heck reaction.[21] The desired product **31** was formed, but in a very low yield (Scheme 4). Therefore, we focused our attention on the palladium(II)-catalyzed domino heterocyclization/Heck reaction.[22] We were pleased to observe the formation of compound **31** in the palladium diacetate catalyzed reaction (Table 2, entry 1). To improve the efficiency of this domino reaction, various phosphine ligands, palladium salts, bases, and oxidants were examined (Table 2). When a catalytic amount of palladium diacetate was used together with tris(3-methoxyphenyl)phosphine and a stoichiometric amount

Table 1. Meta-Functionalization of 2-Alkynylanilines



entry	R^1	R^2	R^3	R^4	product[a] (%)
1	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	Ph	**10** (84)
2	Et	Ph	*t*-Bu	Ph	**11** (65)
3	*n*-Bu	Ph	*t*-Bu	Ph	**12** (67)
4	Me	4-MeC_6H_4	*t*-Bu	Ph	**13** (66)
5	Me	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	*t*-Bu	Ph	**14** (56)
6	Me	4-ClC_6H_4	*t*-Bu	Ph	**15** (63)
7	Me	*t*-Bu	*t*-Bu	Ph	**16** (62)
8	Me	TMS	*t*-Bu	Ph	**17** (74)
9	Me	H	*t*-Bu	Ph	**18** (63)
10	Me	Ph	*cyclo*-Hex	Ph	**19** (63)
11	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	4-MeC_6H_4	**20** (82)
12	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	**21** (66)
13	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	4-ClC_6H_4	**22** (82)
14	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	4-FC_6H_4	**23** (81)
15	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	2-furyl	**24** (78)
16	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	Me	**25** (83)
17	Me	Ph	*t*-Bu	H	**26** (61)
18	Me	TMS	*t*-Bu	H	**27** (63)
19	Me	4-ClC_6H_4	*t*-Bu	H	**28** (50)
20	Et	Ph	*t*-Bu	H	**29** (46)
21	*n*-Bu	Ph	*t*-Bu	H	**30** (48)

[a]Isolated yield based on 2-alkynylanilines.

Scheme 4



of potassium phosphate, the isolated yield of compound **31** increased to 73%. Molecular oxygen proved to be the best oxidant. The formation of compound **31** was not observed when copper(II) bromide, silver acetate, or *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid was used.

Azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indoles with a range of different substitutions were obtained in moderate yields (Scheme 5). The configuration of the double bond of compound **31** was confirmed by its NOESY spectrum. When the double bond in substrates was a terminal alkene, the corresponding reaction was conducted in the absence of phosphine ligand and base, and 2 equiv of acetic acid was added to promote the reaction. The structure of compound **36** was confirmed by its single-crystal diffraction analysis.[23] The treatment of compound **31** with 5 equiv of Bu_4NF in THF at reflux led to the selective deprotection of the Ts group (eq 1).[24]



(1)

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403557q | Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 816–819

Table 2. Evaluation of Conditions for Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Domino Heterocyclization/Heck Coupling Reaction



10 31

entry	Pd(II)	R_3P	base	oxidant	31 (%)
1	$Pd(OAc)_2$	Ph_3P	K_2CO_3	O_2	15
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(2\text{-}MeC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	31
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	32
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(2\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	<5
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	48
6	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	18
7	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(C_6F_5)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	23
8	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(cyclo\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	20
9	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(2\text{-furyl})_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	15
10	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(n\text{-Bu})_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	<5
11	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(t\text{-Bu})_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	<5
12	$PdCl_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	<5
13	$PdBr_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	16
14	$Pd(OCOCF_3)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_2CO_3	O_2	43
15	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	Li_2CO_3	O_2	<5
16	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	Cs_2CO_3	O_2	67
17	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	NaOMe	O_2	30
18	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	KOBu-*t*	O_2	<5
19	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	KOAc	O_2	<5
20	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_3PO_4	O_2	73
21	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_3PO_4	BQ	25
22	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_3PO_4	*m*-CPBA	0
23	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_3PO_4	$CuBr_2$	0
24	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$(3\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3P$	K_3PO_4	AgOAc	0

A plausible reaction pathway for the domino reaction is depicted in Scheme 6. The formation of π-alkynepalladium complex induces the intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the nitrogen to the activated carbon−carbon triple bond. The generated σ-indolylpalladium intermediate is trapped by the electron-poor double bond via *syn* insertion. After C−C bond rotation and *syn* β-hydride elimination, azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indole structure is built, and palladium(0) is formed. The catalytically active palladium(II) is regenerated by oxidation of palladium(0).

In conclusion, we have developed a method to construct 3,4-fused tricyclic azepino[5,4,3-*cd*]indole derivatives form 2-alkynylanilines, isocyanides, and α,β-unsaturated acids. This synthetic process involves a regioselective meta-functionalization of 2-alkynylanilines using a dearomatization strategy, and a palladium-catalyzed domino heterocyclization/Heck reaction. A current effort has also been made to extend its scope and to explore its reaction mechanism and possible synthetic applications, and these results will be reported in due course.

Scheme 5



Scheme 6. Plausible Reaction Pathway of Domino Reaction



■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, NOESY spectrum of compound **31**, copies of ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR of new compounds, and crystallographic data of compound **36** (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: rhfan@fudan.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol403557q | *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 816−819

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 21332009), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20120071110009), and Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (12ZR1425600) are gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Humphrey, G. R.; Kuethe, J. T. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2875. (b) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2873. (c) Gribble, G. W. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, 1045. (d) Saxton, J. E. In *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds*; Wiley: New York, 1983; Vol. *25*, Part IV.

(2) Mukherjee, J.; Menge, M. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.* **2000**, *68*, 1.

(3) (a) Muratake, H.; Natsume, M. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 6331. (b) Baran, P. S.; Thomas, J.; Maimone, T. J.; Richter, J. M. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 404. (C) Rafferty, R. J.; Williams, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 519.

(4) (a) Kamata, K.; Suetsugu, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Hayashi, M.; Komiyama, K.; Ishibashi, M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *69*, 1252. (b) Mayer, G.; Wille, G.; Brenner, M.; Zeitler, K.; Steglich, W. *Synthesis* **2011**, *2*, 330.

(5) (a) Numata, A.; Takahashi, C.; Ito, Y.; Takada, T.; Kawai, K.; Usami, Y.; Matsumura, E.; Imachi, M.; Ito, T.; Hasegawa, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 2355. (b) Zuo, Z.; Ma, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 12008.

(6) (a) Mascal, M.; Modes, K. V.; Durmus, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4445. (b) Qin, H.; Xu, Z.; Cui, Y.; Jia, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4447. (c) Hu, W.; Qin, H.; Cui, Y.; Jia, Y. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 3139. (d) Sun, D.; Zhao, Q.; Li, C. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5302. (e) Leduc, A. B.; Kerr, M. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 237. (f) Koizumi, Y.; Kobayashi, H.; Wakimoto, T.; Furuta, T.; Fukuyama, T.; Kan, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16854.

(7) (a) Huters, A. D.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Styduhar, E. D.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 15797. (b) Bhat, V.; Allan, K. M.; Rawal, V. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5798.

(8) (a) Peat, A. J.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 1028. (b) Jackson, B.; Hester, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, *29*, 1158.

(9) (a) Xu, Z.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, L.; Jia, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 2512. (b) Bronner, S. M.; Goetz, A. E.; Garg, N. K. *Synlett* **2011**, 2599. (c) Bronner, S. M.; Goetz, A. E.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3832. (d) Meseguer, B.; Alonso-Diaz, D.; Griebenow, N.; Herget, T.; Waldmann, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 2902. (e) Moreno, O. A.; Kishi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 8180.

(10) Park, I.-K.; Park, J.; Cho, C.-G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2496.

(11) (a) Schçnherr, H.; Leighton, J. L. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2610. (b) Cheng, D.-J.; Wu, H.-B.; Tian, S.-K. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5636. (c) Yamada, K.; Namerikawa, Y.; Haruyama, T.; Miwa, Y.; Yanada, R.; Ishikura, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 5752.

(12) Greshock, T. J.; Funk, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4946.

(13) (a) Yonemitsu, O.; Cerutti, P.; Witkop, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 3941. (b) Kobayashi, T.; Spande, T. F.; Aoyagi, H.; Witkop, B. *J. Med. Chem.* **1969**, *12*, 636. (c) Mascal, M.; Moody, C. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1* **1992**, 823.

(14) (a) Trost, B. M.; McDougall, P. J. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3782. (b) Lauchli, R.; Shea, K. J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5287. (c) Bur, S. K.; Padwa, A. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4135.

(15) (a) Breazzano, S. P.; Poudel, Y. B.; Boger, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1600. (b) Shan, D.; Gao, Y.; Jia, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4902.

(16) For reviews on dearomatization, see: (a) Bach, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1996**, *35*, 729. (b) Quideau, S.; Pouységu, L. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1999**, *31*, 617. (c) Pape, A. R.; Kaliappan, K. P.; Kündig, E. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 2917. (d) Magdziak, D.; Meek, S. J.; Pettus, T. R. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1383. (e) Keane, J. M.; Harman, W. D. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1786. (f) López Ortiz, F.; Iglesias, M. J.; Fernández, I.; Andújar Sánchez, C. M.; Gómez, G. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 1580. (g) Quideau, S.; Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D. *Synlett* **2008**, 467. (h) Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Quideau, S. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 2235. (i) Roche, S. P.; Porco, J. A., Jr. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4068. (j) Zhuo, C.-X.; Zhang, W.; You, S.-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12662. (k) Ding, Q.; Ye, Y.; Fan, R. *Synthesis* **2013**, *45*, 1.

(17) (a) Yang, M.; Tang, J.; Fan, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 11775. (b) Zhang, L.; Li, Z.; Fan, R. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 6076. (c) Zhang, L.; Wang, W.; Fan, R. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 2018. (d) Yang, M.; Tang, J.; Fan, R. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3464.

(18) (a) Banfield, S. C.; England, D. B.; Kerr, M. A. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3325. (b) Jackson, S. K.; Banfield, S. C.; Kerr, M. A. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1215. (c) Quideau, S.; Pouységu, L.; Ozanne, A.; Gagnepain, J. *Molecules.* **2005**, *10*, 201. (d) Jean, A.; Cantat, J.; Bérard, D.; Bouchu, D.; Canesi, S. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 2553. (e) Sabot, C.; Bérard, D.; Canesi, S. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4629. (f) Giroux, M.-A.; Guérard, K. C.; Beaulieu, M.-A.; Sabot, C.; Canesi, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 3871.

(19) For reviews on hypervalent iodines, see: (a) Zhdankin, V. V.; Stang, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2523. (b) Wirth, T. Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry: Modern Developments in Organic Synthesis. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2003**, 224. (c) Ciufolini, M. A.; Braun, N. A.; Canesi, S.; Ousmer, M.; Chang, J.; Chai, D. *Synthesis* **2007**, 3759. (d) Zhdankin, V. V.; Stang, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 5299.

(20) Amjad, M.; Knight, D. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 539.

(21) (a) Mitsudo, K.; Thansandote, P.; Wilhelm, T.; Mariampillai, B.; Lautens, M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3939. (b) Yue, D.; Larock, R. C. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1037. (c) Yue, D.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 62.

(22) (a) Isono, N.; Lautens, M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 1329. (b) Martínez, C.; Álvarez, R.; Aurrecoechea, J. M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 1083. (c) Álvarez, R.; Martínez, C.; Madich, Y.; Denis, J. G.; Aurrecoechea, J. M.; de Lera, Á. R. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12746. (d) Boyer, A.; Isono, N.; Lackner, S.; Lautens, M. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 6468. (e) Martínez, C.; Aurrecoechea, J. M.; Madich, Y.; Denis, J. G.; de Lera, A. R.; Álvarez, R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 99.

(23) Crystallographic data for compound **36** is available free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, accession no. CCDC 975321.

(24) Witulski, B.; Alayrac, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3281.